

Insensitizing controls for the Boussinesq system with vanishing components

Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers
Control of PDE's

Nicolás Carreño

Ph.D. student at Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions
Université Pierre et Marie Curie
Paris, France
(Thesis advisor: Sergio Guerrero)

April 2, 2014

Outline

Introduction

- Insensitizing controls

- Main results

Strategy of proof

Some comments, perspectives

Insensitizing controls

- ▶ Ω bounded connected regular open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N = 2$ or 3)
- ▶ $T > 0$
- ▶ $\omega \subset \Omega$ (control set), $Q := \Omega \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$

We consider the Boussinesq system:

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = f + v\mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, \theta), & \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta_t - \Delta \theta + y \cdot \nabla \theta = f_0 + v_0\mathbf{1}_\omega & & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0 + \tau \hat{y}_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta^0 + \tau \hat{\theta}_0 & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

where τ is a small constant and $\|\hat{y}^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} = \|\hat{\theta}^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. **Unknown.**

Insensitizing control problem: To find controls v and v_0 in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ such that the functional (**Sentinel**)

$$J_\tau(y, \theta) := \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times (0, T)} (|y|^2 + |\theta|^2) \, dx \, dt, \quad \mathcal{O} \subset \Omega \text{ (Observation set)}$$

is not affected by the **uncertainty of the initial data**, that is,

$$\left. \frac{\partial J_\tau(y, \theta)}{\partial \tau} \right|_{\tau=0} = 0 \quad \forall (\widehat{y}_0, \widehat{\theta}_0) \in L^2(\Omega)^4 \text{ s.t. } \|\widehat{y}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} = \|\widehat{\theta}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Insensitizing control problem: To find controls v and v_0 in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ such that the functional (**Sentinel**)

$$J_\tau(y, \theta) := \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times (0, T)} (|y|^2 + |\theta|^2) \, dx \, dt, \quad \mathcal{O} \subset \Omega \text{ (Observation set)}$$

is not affected by the **uncertainty of the initial data**, that is,

$$\left. \frac{\partial J_\tau(y, \theta)}{\partial \tau} \right|_{\tau=0} = 0 \quad \forall (\widehat{y}_0, \widehat{\theta}_0) \in L^2(\Omega)^4 \text{ s.t. } \|\widehat{y}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} = \|\widehat{\theta}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Some previous works

- ▶ Heat equation: [Bodart, Fabre, 1995], [de Teresa, 2000], [Bodart, González-Burgos, Pérez-García, 2002]
- ▶ Hyperbolic equations: [Alabau-Boussouira, 13]
- ▶ Quasi-Geostrophic ocean model: [Fernández-Cara, García, Osses, 2005]
- ▶ Stokes: [Guerrero, 2007]
- ▶ Navier-Stokes: [Gueye, 2013]

A cascade system

The previous condition is equivalent to the following **null controllability problem**: To find a control v and v_0 such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$, where

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w + (w \cdot \nabla)w + \nabla p_0 = f + v \mathbb{1}_\omega + (0, 0, r), & \nabla \cdot w = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -z_t - \Delta z + (z \cdot \nabla^t)w - (w \cdot \nabla)z + \nabla p_1 = w \mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O}, & \nabla \cdot z = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ r_t - \Delta r + (w \cdot \nabla)r = f_0 + v_0 \mathbb{1}_\omega & & \text{in } Q, \\ -q_t - \Delta q - (w \cdot \nabla)q = z_3 + r \mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O} & & \text{in } Q, \end{cases}$$

with boundary and initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} w = z = 0, & r = q = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = y^0, & z(T) = 0, & r(0) = \theta^0, & q(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We are interested in controls of the form

1. $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$, $v_0 \neq 0$
2. $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$.

A cascade system

The previous condition is equivalent to the following **null controllability problem**: To find a control v and v_0 such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$, where

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w + (w \cdot \nabla)w + \nabla p_0 = f + v \mathbb{1}_\omega + (0, 0, r), & \nabla \cdot w = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -z_t - \Delta z + (z \cdot \nabla^t)w - (w \cdot \nabla)z + \nabla p_1 = w \mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O}, & \nabla \cdot z = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ r_t - \Delta r + (w \cdot \nabla)r = f_0 + v_0 \mathbb{1}_\omega & & \text{in } Q, \\ -q_t - \Delta q - (w \cdot \nabla)q = z_3 + r \mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O} & & \text{in } Q, \end{cases}$$

with boundary and initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} w = z = 0, & r = q = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = y^0, & z(T) = 0, & r(0) = \theta^0, & q(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We are interested in controls of the form

1. $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$, $v_0 \neq 0$
2. $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$.

Null controllability result

Assume:

- ▶ $y^0 = 0, \theta^0 = 0$
- ▶ $\mathcal{O} \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$
- ▶ $\|e^{K/t^{10}} f\|_{L^2(Q)^3} < +\infty, \|e^{K/t^{10}} f_0\|_{L^2(Q)} < +\infty$, some $K > 0$

Theorem (Guerrero, Gueye, C.)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|e^{K/t^{10}}(f, f_0)\|_{L^2(Q)^4} < \delta$, there exist a controls (v, v_0) in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ of the form $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$, $v_0 \neq 0$ such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$.

Theorem (C.)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|e^{K/t^{10}}(f, f_0)\|_{L^2(Q)^4} < \delta$, there exist a controls (v, v_0) in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ of the form $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$, $v_0 = 0$ such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$.

Null controllability result

Assume:

- ▶ $y^0 = 0, \theta^0 = 0$
- ▶ $\mathcal{O} \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$
- ▶ $\|e^{K/t^{10}} f\|_{L^2(Q)^3} < +\infty, \|e^{K/t^{10}} f_0\|_{L^2(Q)} < +\infty$, some $K > 0$

Theorem (Guerrero, Gueye, C.)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|e^{K/t^{10}}(f, f_0)\|_{L^2(Q)^4} < \delta$, there exist a controls (v, v_0) in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ of the form $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$, $v_0 \neq 0$ such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$.

Theorem (C.)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|e^{K/t^{10}}(f, f_0)\|_{L^2(Q)^4} < \delta$, there exist a controls (v, v_0) in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ of the form $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$, $v_0 = 0$ such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$.

Null controllability result

Assume:

- ▶ $y^0 = 0, \theta^0 = 0$
- ▶ $\mathcal{O} \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$
- ▶ $\|e^{K/t^{10}} f\|_{L^2(Q)^3} < +\infty, \|e^{K/t^{10}} f_0\|_{L^2(Q)} < +\infty$, some $K > 0$

Theorem (Guerrero, Gueye, C.)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|e^{K/t^{10}}(f, f_0)\|_{L^2(Q)^4} < \delta$, there exist a controls (v, v_0) in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ of the form $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$, $v_0 \neq 0$ such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$.

Theorem (C.)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|e^{K/t^{10}}(f, f_0)\|_{L^2(Q)^4} < \delta$, there exist a controls (v, v_0) in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ of the form $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$, $v_0 = 0$ such that $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$.

Method of proof

- ▶ Linearization around zero
- ▶ Null controllability of the linearized system (Main part of the proof).
Main tool: Carleman estimate for the adjoint system with source terms.
- ▶ Inverse mapping theorem for the nonlinear system

Method of proof

- ▶ Linearization around zero
- ▶ Null controllability of the linearized system (Main part of the proof).
Main tool: Carleman estimate for the adjoint system with source terms.
- ▶ Inverse mapping theorem for the nonlinear system

Method of proof

- ▶ Linearization around zero
- ▶ Null controllability of the linearized system (Main part of the proof).
Main tool: Carleman estimate for the adjoint system with source terms.
- ▶ Inverse mapping theorem for the nonlinear system

Linearized system

The linearized system around zero with source terms:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_t - \Delta w + \nabla p_0 = f^w + v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, r), & \nabla \cdot w = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ -z_t - \Delta z + \nabla p_1 = f^z + w \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O}, & \nabla \cdot z = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ r_t - \Delta r = f^r + v_0 \mathbf{1}_\omega & \text{in } Q, \\ -q_t - \Delta q = f^q + z_3 + r \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O} & \text{in } Q, \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w = z = 0, \quad r = q = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad z(T) = 0, \quad r(0) = 0, \quad q(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

We want to prove $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$ with controls of the form

$$v = (v_1, 0, 0), v_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v = (v_1, 0, v_3), v_0 = 0.$$

We prove an observability inequality for the adjoint system

Linearized system

The linearized system around zero with source terms:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_t - \Delta w + \nabla p_0 = f^w + v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, r), & \nabla \cdot w = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ -z_t - \Delta z + \nabla p_1 = f^z + w \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O}, & \nabla \cdot z = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ r_t - \Delta r = f^r + v_0 \mathbf{1}_\omega & \text{in } Q, \\ -q_t - \Delta q = f^q + z_3 + r \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O} & \text{in } Q, \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w = z = 0, \quad r = q = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad z(T) = 0, \quad r(0) = 0, \quad q(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

We want to prove $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$ with controls of the form

$$v = (v_1, 0, 0), v_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v = (v_1, 0, v_3), v_0 = 0.$$

We prove an observability inequality for the adjoint system

Linearized system

The linearized system around zero with source terms:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_t - \Delta w + \nabla p_0 = f^w + v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, r), & \nabla \cdot w = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ -z_t - \Delta z + \nabla p_1 = f^z + w \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O}, & \nabla \cdot z = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ r_t - \Delta r = f^r + v_0 \mathbf{1}_\omega & \text{in } Q, \\ -q_t - \Delta q = f^q + z_3 + r \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O} & \text{in } Q, \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w = z = 0, \quad r = q = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad z(T) = 0, \quad r(0) = 0, \quad q(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

We want to prove $z(0) = 0$ and $q(0) = 0$ with controls of the form

$$v = (v_1, 0, 0), v_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v = (v_1, 0, v_3), v_0 = 0.$$

We prove an observability inequality for the adjoint system

Adjoint system with source terms

Dual variables: $\varphi \leftrightarrow w$, $\psi \leftrightarrow z$, $\phi \leftrightarrow r$, $\sigma \leftrightarrow q$

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta\varphi + \nabla\pi_\varphi = g^\varphi + \psi \mathbf{1}_O, & \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \psi_t - \Delta\psi + \nabla\pi_\psi = g^\psi + (0, 0, \sigma), & \nabla \cdot \psi = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -\phi_t - \Delta\phi = g^\phi + \varphi_3 + \sigma \mathbf{1}_O & & \text{in } Q, \\ \sigma_t - \Delta\sigma = g^\sigma & & \text{in } Q, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \varphi = \psi = 0, & \phi = \sigma = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \varphi(T) = 0, & \psi(0) = \psi^0, & \phi(T) = 0, & \sigma(0) = \sigma^0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Observability inequality

- ▶ For general controls $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and v_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_Q \rho_1(t) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\phi|^2 + |\sigma|^2) &\leq C \iint_Q \rho_2(t) (|g^\varphi|^2 + |g^\psi|^2 + |g^\phi|^2 + |g^\sigma|^2) \\ &+ C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} \rho_3(t) (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2 + |\varphi_3|^2 + |\phi|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10}(T-t)^{10})$$

Using energy estimate, we can change to $\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10})$

- ▶ By duality, if $\rho_1(t)^{-1/2}(f^w, f^z, f^\phi, f^\sigma)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_2(t)^{-1/2}(w, z, r, q)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_3(t)^{-1/2}(v, v_0)$ in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0 : only local terms φ_1 and ϕ
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$: only local terms φ_1 and φ_3

Observability inequality

- ▶ For general controls $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and v_0 :

$$\iint_Q \rho_1(t) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\phi|^2 + |\sigma|^2) \leq C \iint_Q \rho_2(t) (|g^\varphi|^2 + |g^\psi|^2 + |g^\phi|^2 + |g^\sigma|^2) \\ + C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} \rho_3(t) (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2 + |\varphi_3|^2 + |\phi|^2)$$

$$\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10}(T-t)^{10})$$

Using energy estimate, we can change to $\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10})$

- ▶ By duality, if $\rho_1(t)^{-1/2} (f^w, f^z, f^\phi, f^\sigma)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_2(t)^{-1/2} (w, z, r, q)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_3(t)^{-1/2} (v, v_0)$ in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0 : only local terms φ_1 and ϕ
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$: only local terms φ_1 and φ_3

Observability inequality

- ▶ For general controls $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and v_0 :

$$\iint_Q \rho_1(t) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\phi|^2 + |\sigma|^2) \leq C \iint_Q \rho_2(t) (|g^\varphi|^2 + |g^\psi|^2 + |g^\phi|^2 + |g^\sigma|^2) \\ + C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} \rho_3(t) (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2 + |\varphi_3|^2 + |\phi|^2)$$

$$\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10}(T-t)^{10})$$

Using energy estimate, we can change to $\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10})$

- ▶ By duality, if $\rho_1(t)^{-1/2}(f^w, f^z, f^\phi, f^\sigma)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_2(t)^{-1/2}(w, z, r, q)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_3(t)^{-1/2}(v, v_0)$ in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0 : only local terms φ_1 and ϕ
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$: only local terms φ_1 and φ_3

Observability inequality

- ▶ For general controls $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and v_0 :

$$\iint_Q \rho_1(t) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\phi|^2 + |\sigma|^2) \leq C \iint_Q \rho_2(t) (|g^\varphi|^2 + |g^\psi|^2 + |g^\phi|^2 + |g^\sigma|^2) \\ + C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} \rho_3(t) (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2 + |\varphi_3|^2 + |\phi|^2)$$

$$\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10}(T-t)^{10})$$

Using energy estimate, we can change to $\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10})$

- ▶ By duality, if $\rho_1(t)^{-1/2}(f^w, f^z, f^\phi, f^\sigma)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_2(t)^{-1/2}(w, z, r, q)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_3(t)^{-1/2}(v, v_0)$ in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0 : only local terms φ_1 and ϕ
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$: only local terms φ_1 and φ_3

Observability inequality

- ▶ For general controls $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and v_0 :

$$\iint_Q \rho_1(t) (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\phi|^2 + |\sigma|^2) \leq C \iint_Q \rho_2(t) (|g^\varphi|^2 + |g^\psi|^2 + |g^\phi|^2 + |g^\sigma|^2) \\ + C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} \rho_3(t) (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2 + |\varphi_3|^2 + |\phi|^2)$$

$$\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10}(T-t)^{10})$$

Using energy estimate, we can change to $\rho_i(t) \sim \exp(-C/t^{10})$

- ▶ By duality, if $\rho_1(t)^{-1/2}(f^w, f^z, f^\phi, f^\sigma)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_2(t)^{-1/2}(w, z, r, q)$ in $L^2(Q)$
 - ▶ $\rho_3(t)^{-1/2}(v, v_0)$ in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0 : only local terms φ_1 and ϕ
- ▶ For controls $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$: only local terms φ_1 and φ_3

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_i^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_i^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_t - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_i^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_i^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_i - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, \quad i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_i^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_i^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_i - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, \quad i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_t - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_t - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_t - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, \quad i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_t - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and v_0

- ▶ Carleman for φ_1 and φ_3 .
- ▶ Carleman for ψ_1 and ψ_3 (with local terms like $\Delta\psi_1$ and $\Delta\psi_3$).
- ▶ Eliminate local terms ψ_1 and ψ_3 using

$$\Delta\psi_i = -\Delta\varphi_{i,t} - \Delta^2\varphi_i + \partial_i\nabla \cdot g^\varphi - \Delta g_i^\varphi \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}, \quad i = 1, 3.$$

- ▶ Eliminate φ_3 using

$$\varphi_3 = -\phi_t - \Delta\phi - g^\phi - \sigma \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point we have local terms of φ_1 , ϕ and global terms of σ .
Carleman for σ , but cannot have a local term like σ .

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta(\partial_t - \Delta)\phi + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\phi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$

- ▶ Same steps as before to obtain local terms of φ_1 , φ_3 and global terms of σ . Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$ and eliminate with

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point, it only remains to add to the left-hand side the weighted norm of ϕ .
- ▶ Cannot add a local term of ϕ . No way to eliminate with coupling. Instead, we use energy estimates with weights like $\rho(t) = \exp(-C/t^{10})$:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho\phi)_t - \Delta(\rho\phi) = \rho g^\phi + \rho\varphi_3 + \rho\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \rho'(t)\phi \\ (\rho\phi)|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad (\rho\phi)(0) = 0, \quad \boxed{(\rho\phi)(T) = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$\|\rho\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\rho g^\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) - \iint_Q \rho' \rho |\phi|^2$$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$

- ▶ Same steps as before to obtain local terms of φ_1 , φ_3 and global terms of σ . Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$ and eliminate with

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point, it only remains to add to the left-hand side the weighted norm of ϕ .
- ▶ Cannot add a local term of ϕ . No way to eliminate with coupling. Instead, we use energy estimates with weights like $\rho(t) = \exp(-C/t^{10})$:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho\phi)_t - \Delta(\rho\phi) = \rho g^\phi + \rho\varphi_3 + \rho\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \rho'(t)\phi \\ (\rho\phi)|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad (\rho\phi)(0) = 0, \quad \boxed{(\rho\phi)(T) = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$\|\rho\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\rho g^\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) - \iint_Q \rho' \rho |\phi|^2$$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$

- ▶ Same steps as before to obtain local terms of φ_1 , φ_3 and global terms of σ . Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$ and eliminate with

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point, it only remains to add to the left-hand side the weighted norm of ϕ .
- ▶ Cannot add a local term of ϕ . No way to eliminate with coupling.

Instead, we use energy estimates with weights like $\rho(t) = \exp(-C/t^{10})$:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho\phi)_t - \Delta(\rho\phi) = \rho g^\phi + \rho\varphi_3 + \rho\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \rho'(t)\phi \\ (\rho\phi)|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad (\rho\phi)(0) = 0, \quad \boxed{(\rho\phi)(T) = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$\|\rho\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\rho g^\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) - \iint_Q \rho' \rho |\phi|^2$$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$

- ▶ Same steps as before to obtain local terms of φ_1 , φ_3 and global terms of σ . Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$ and eliminate with

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point, it only remains to add to the left-hand side the weighted norm of ϕ .
- ▶ Cannot add a local term of ϕ . No way to eliminate with coupling. Instead, we use energy estimates with weights like $\rho(t) = \exp(-C/t^{10})$:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho\phi)_t - \Delta(\rho\phi) = \rho g^\phi + \rho\varphi_3 + \rho\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \rho'(t)\phi \\ (\rho\phi)|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad (\rho\phi)(0) = 0, \quad \boxed{(\rho\phi)(T) = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$\|\rho\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\rho g^\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) - \iint_Q \rho' \rho |\phi|^2$$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$

- ▶ Same steps as before to obtain local terms of φ_1 , φ_3 and global terms of σ . Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$ and eliminate with

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point, it only remains to add to the left-hand side the weighted norm of ϕ .
- ▶ Cannot add a local term of ϕ . No way to eliminate with coupling. Instead, we use energy estimates with weights like $\rho(t) = \exp(-C/t^{10})$:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho\phi)_t - \Delta(\rho\phi) = \rho g^\phi + \rho\varphi_3 + \rho\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \rho'(t)\phi \\ (\rho\phi)|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad (\rho\phi)(0) = 0, \quad \boxed{(\rho\phi)(T) = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$\|\rho\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\rho g^\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) - \iint_Q \rho' \rho |\phi|^2$$

Sketch of proof. Case: $v = (v_1, 0, v_3)$ and $v_0 = 0$

- ▶ Same steps as before to obtain local terms of φ_1 , φ_3 and global terms of σ . Carleman with a local term like $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma$ and eliminate with

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\sigma = -(\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2)\Delta\varphi_3 + F(g^\varphi, g^\psi, g^\sigma) \quad \text{in } \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$$

- ▶ At this point, it only remains to add to the left-hand side the weighted norm of ϕ .
- ▶ Cannot add a local term of ϕ . No way to eliminate with coupling. Instead, we use energy estimates with weights like $\rho(t) = \exp(-C/t^{10})$:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho\phi)_t - \Delta(\rho\phi) = \rho g^\phi + \rho\varphi_3 + \rho\sigma \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \rho'(t)\phi \\ (\rho\phi)|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad (\rho\phi)(0) = 0, \quad \boxed{(\rho\phi)(T) = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$\|\rho\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\rho g^\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) - \iint_Q \rho' \rho |\phi|^2$$

Perspectives

- ▶ Our method limits the quantity of vanishing components to two. Also, we need to have v_3 or v_0
- ▶ What about three vanishing components, e.g., $v = (0, 0, 0)$ and v_0 ?
One possibility: use the [Return method](#).
See P. Lissy's presentation in a few minutes.
- ▶ Boussinesq system: No control on the heat equation.

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, \theta), & \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta_t - \Delta \theta + y \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 & & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta^0 & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi + \nabla \pi = 0, & \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -\psi_t - \Delta \psi = \varphi_3 & & \text{in } Q, \\ \varphi = 0, \quad \psi = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \varphi(T) = \varphi^T, \quad \psi(T) = \psi^T & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Perspectives

- ▶ Our method limits the quantity of vanishing components to two. Also, we need to have v_3 or v_0
- ▶ What about three vanishing components, e.g., $v = (0, 0, 0)$ and v_0 ?
One possibility: use the [Return method](#).
See P. Lissy's presentation in a few minutes.
- ▶ Boussinesq system: No control on the heat equation.

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, \theta), & \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta_t - \Delta \theta + y \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 & & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta^0 & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi + \nabla \pi = 0, & \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -\psi_t - \Delta \psi = \varphi_3 & & \text{in } Q, \\ \varphi = 0, \quad \psi = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \varphi(T) = \varphi^T, \quad \psi(T) = \psi^T & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Perspectives

- ▶ Our method limits the quantity of vanishing components to two. Also, we need to have v_3 or v_0
- ▶ What about three vanishing components, e.g., $v = (0, 0, 0)$ and v_0 ?
One possibility: use the [Return method](#).
See P. Lissy's presentation in a few minutes.
- ▶ Boussinesq system: No control on the heat equation.

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, \theta), & \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta_t - \Delta \theta + y \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 & & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta^0 & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi + \nabla \pi = 0, & \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -\psi_t - \Delta \psi = \varphi_3 & & \text{in } Q, \\ \varphi = 0, \quad \psi = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \varphi(T) = \varphi^T, \quad \psi(T) = \psi^T & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Perspectives

- ▶ Our method limits the quantity of vanishing components to two. Also, we need to have v_3 or v_0
- ▶ What about three vanishing components, e.g., $v = (0, 0, 0)$ and v_0 ?
One possibility: use the [Return method](#).
See P. Lissy's presentation in a few minutes.
- ▶ Boussinesq system: No control on the heat equation.

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = v \mathbf{1}_\omega + (0, 0, \theta), & \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta_t - \Delta \theta + y \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 & & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta^0 & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi + \nabla \pi = 0, & \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -\psi_t - \Delta \psi = \varphi_3 & & \text{in } Q, \\ \varphi = 0, \quad \psi = 0 & & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \varphi(T) = \varphi^T, \quad \psi(T) = \psi^T & & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Some references



N. C. and S. Guerrero, Local null controllability of the N -dimensional Navier-Stokes system with $N - 1$ scalar controls in an arbitrary control domain, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **15** (2013), no. 1, 139–153.



N. C., Local controllability of the N -dimensional Boussinesq system with $N - 1$ scalar controls in an arbitrary control domain, *Math. Control Relat. Fields*, **2** (2012), no. 4, 361–382.



N. C. and M. Gueye, Insensitizing controls with one vanishing component for the Navier-Stokes system, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **101** (2014), no. 1, 27–53.



N. C., S. Guerrero and M. Gueye, Insensitizing controls with two vanishing components for the three-dimensional Boussinesq system, to appear in *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*

Available on: <https://www.ljll.math.upmc.fr/~ncarreno>

Thank you for your attention!